

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/693,285	Applicant(s) YANG, HONDA	
	Examiner Helen Rossoshek	Art Unit 2825	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Examiner Helen Rossoshek.

(3) _____.

 (2) Forrest Gunnison (Registration No. 32,899).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 December 2005
Time: N/A
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-19

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: 12/5/05: Examiner proposed restriction for the Application; having two groups. A provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-4, 6-11. Claims 5, 12-19 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner. 12/08/05: Examiner proposed: 1) an amendment for claims 1,2, 6; 2) replace drawings 5,6,7 to make them readable; 3) cancel claims 5,12-19 as non-elected during restriction/election .